Lissotriton vulgaris (smooth newt): Parasitism or phoresy?

ROBERT GANDOLA^{1,2*} and CATRIONA HENDRY^{2,3}

¹Ocean and Earth Sciences, National Oceanography Centre, University of Southampton, European Way, Southampton, SO13 3ZH, UK.

²The Herpetological Society of Ireland, 67 The Ramparts, Cabinteely, Dublin 18, Ireland. ³Department of Biological Sciences, The George Washington University, 2023 G St. NW, Washington, DC 20052, USA

*Corresponding author email: rg3g13@soton.ac.uk

On the 23 February 2009 an adult male smooth newt, *Lissotriton vulgaris*, with a snout-vent-length of 43mm and a weight of 4g was seen near the surface of a semi-permanent, brackish pond on the southern end of Portmarnock Strand, Co. Dublin, Ireland (53° 24' 03.4"N 06° 06' 54.1" W). The individual was caught by hand and manipulated for an examination of body condition. During the examination a leech dropped from the axial region on the left side of the newt's body. The leech was captured and preserved in 70% etOH. Subsequent inspection of the newt revealed no obvious signs of bleeding or skin damage around the area that the leech detached from, although this could have been hampered by the difficulty of locating tiny dermal abrasions against the dark colouration of the skin, and by the small size of the specimen.

The leech was identified as Helobdella stagnalis, a predatory species of freshwater leech that is known to parasitise the common frog, Rana temporaria (Tiberti & Gentilli, 2010), a species that occurs sympatrically with L. vulgaris at the capture site. Given that we did not observe the leech directly attached to the newt, it is not possible to decisively determine between the two candidates for the nature of this interaction: parasitism versus phoresy (the use of a host solely for transport purposes). Given that H. stagnalis is known to parasitise amphibians in other parts of its distribution, e.g. Ambystoma tigrinum in the U.S.A (Platt et al., 1993), and R. temporaria in Italy (Tiberti & Gentilli, 2010), we consider a strictly phoretic relationship between L. vulgaris and H. stagnalis to be unlikely. Any relationship between these two species is likely to be temporally restricted due to the bi-phasic natural history of L. vulgaris. This particular specimen was in the aquatic breeding phase at the time of capture and we can only assume that once the breeding phase ends newts are no longer vulnerable to parasitism by H. stagnalis. If this is in fact an observation of parasitism as opposed phoresy then it constitutes the first European observation of a urodele amphibian host for this leech species, and only the third record of H. stagnalis specifically parasitising live amphibians (Platt et al., 1993; Tiberti & Gentilli, 2010). The cryptic lifestyle of smooth newts makes it difficult to make observations such as the one described here, and as such it is possible that the predatory leech *H. stagnalis* may have a more prevalent relationship with *L. vulgaris* than previously known.

Given that leeches have recently been identified in playing a much greater role in the localised decline of amphibian species than previously thought (for examples see Elliott & Tullett, 1984; Toledo, 2005; Romano & Di Cerbo, 2007; Wells, 2007; Ayres & Iglesias, 2008; Beukema & de Pous, 2010; Kutschera et al., 2010; Stead & Pope, 2010), coupled with their potential to serve as a vector for disease (Raffel, Dillard & Hudson, 2006), the effects of this relationship upon the smooth newt as a host organism requires further investigation, particularly since this kind of leech-amphibian interaction appears to be more prevalent than previously thought.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Dr. Roy Anderson for identifying the leech specimen. This work was conducted under National Parks and Wildlife Service of Ireland License No. 23/2009.

REFERENCES

Ayres, C. & Iglesias, J.C. (2008) Leech presence on Iberian Brown Frog, *Rana iberica*, (Amphibia: Anura: Randae) from north-western Spain. *Acta Herpetologica* 3: 155-159.

Beukema, W. & de Pous, P. (2010) Exceptional leech predation on *Amietophrynus mauritanicus* (Anura, Bufonidae) in Tunisia. *Herpetology Notes* 3: 289-290.

Elliott, J.M. & Tullett, P.A. (1984) The status of the medicinal leech *Hirudo medicinalis* in Europe and especially in the British Isles. *Biological Conservation* 29: 15-26.

Kutschera, U., Roth, M. & Ewert, J.P. (2010) Feeding on Bufoid toads and occurrence of hyperparasitism in a population of the Medicinal Leech (*Hirudo verbana* Carena 1820). Research Journal of Fisheries and Hydrobiology 5: 9-13.

- Platt, T.R., Sever, D.M. & Gonzalez, V.L. (1993) First Report of the Predaceous Leech Helobdella stagnalis (Rhynchobdellida: Glossiphoniidae) as a Parasite of an Amphibian, Ambystoma tigrinum (Amphibia: Caudata). American Midland Naturalist 129: 208-210.
- Raffel, Thomas R., Dillard, J.R & Hudson, P.J. (2006) Field evidence for leech-borne transmission of amphibian Ichthyophonus sp. Journal of Parasitology 92: 1256-1264.
- Romano, A, and Di Cerbo, A.R. (2007) Leech predation on amphibian eggs. Acta Zoologica Sinica 53: 750-754.
- Stead, J.E. & Pope, K.L. (2010) Predatory leeches (Hirudinida) may contribute to amphibian declines in the Lassen region, California. Northwestern Naturalist 91: 30-39.
- Tiberti, R. & Gentilli, A. (2010) First report of freshwater Helobdella stagnalis (Rhyncobdellida: Glossiphoniidae) as a parasite of an anuran amphibian. Acta Herpetologica 5: 255-258.
- Toledo, L.F. (2005) Predation of juvenile and adult amphibians by invertebrates: current knowledge and perspectives. Herpetological Review 36: 395-400.
- Wells, K.D (2007) The Ecology and Behaviour of Amphibians, University of Chicago Press, Chicago.