

## SHORT NOTE

HERPETOLOGICAL JOURNAL, Vol. 15, pp. 51-55 (2005)

**VARIATION IN PREFERRED BODY  
TEMPERATURE IN AN OVIPAROUS  
POPULATION OF *LACERTA*  
(*ZOOTOCA*) *VIVIPARA***

M. A. CARRETERO<sup>1</sup>, J. M. ROIG<sup>2</sup> AND  
G. A. LLORENTE<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos  
Genéticos (CIBIO), Campus Agrário de Vairão, Portugal*

<sup>2</sup>*Dpt. Biologia Animal (Vertebrats). Fac. Biologia.  
University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain*

The intraspecific variation of preferred temperatures (Tp) was analysed in an oviparous population of *Lacerta vivipara* and compared with viviparous populations. Lizards collected in central Pyrenees were exposed to a thermal gradient and Tp was measured at four time intervals. Tp was strongly dependent on lizard condition (males > non-pregnant females > pregnant females = immatures) and more weakly with time of day (early morning > mid-day). Individual females increased their Tp after egg-laying. Class-by-class comparisons did not reveal substantial differences with viviparous populations as expected for the thermal rigidity hypothesis. Nevertheless, on a short time scale, Tp should be interpreted as a compromise between different selective pressures including not only thermal environment but also reproductive condition and energy allocation.

*Key words:* behaviour, lizard, thermoregulation

The temperature selected in the absence of thermoregulatory constraints (preferred body temperature, Tp) is a relevant trait highly correlated with optima for many physiological processes in lizards (Huey & Bennet, 1987; Bauwens *et al.*, 1995). Two kinds of variability in Tp should be considered: among populations/species and within a population. At the population/species level, evidence for both rigidity and flexibility on an evolutionary scale has been found in many lizard groups (i.e. Hertz *et al.*, 1983; Bennet & John-Alder, 1986; Christian & Weavers, 1996; Castilla *et al.*, 1999). These discrepancies probably stem from different rates of response to directional selection for this trait across evolutionary lineages (Labra, 1998). Studies on lacertids seem to support the thermal rigidity hypothesis within this family (Van Damme *et al.*, 1989a, 1990; Gvozdík & Castilla, 2001; but see Scheers & Van Damme, 2002). Independently of this, Tp can also change within a population on a short time scale in response to temporal variation within an individual lizard's life (e.g. seasonal changes, reproductive condi-

tion and feeding status, see Castilla *et al.*, 1999 for a revision in lacertids).

The common lizard *Lacerta (Zootoca) vivipara* (for taxonomic aspects, see Harris & Carretero, 2003) is the only lacertid exhibiting reproductive bimodality. Whereas viviparism is the reproductive mode throughout most of its range, oviparous populations have been recorded in two disjunct areas: the Cantabrian Mountains, the Pyrenees and Aquitaine, and Austria, Slovenia and N Italy (Bea, 1978; Braña & Bea, 1987; Heulin *et al.*, 2000; Mayer *et al.*, 2000; Suget-Groba *et al.*, 2002). Oviparism is considered plesiomorphic within this species but oviparous populations of SW Europe and those from Central Europe, described as subspecies *carniolica* (Mayer *et al.*, 2000), are not directly related (Surget-Groba *et al.*, 2001). Recently, a study carried out on viviparous populations (Gvozdík & Castilla, 2001) confirmed thermal rigidity of Tp in populations living under different climate regimes but also detected intraspecific variation within the same population (see also Patterson & Davies, 1978, Van Damme *et al.*, 1986, 1987). These findings suggest that, although Tp is evolutionary conservative at population/species level, within one particular population physiological optima may conflict and selective pressures vary with the lizard's condition (i.e. sex, reproductive status, size). Thus, overall Tp for one species would be an oversimplification. On the other hand, viviparity in squamates is considered a response to cold since embryo development can be completed under more favourable thermal environment inside the mother (see for instance Shine, 1985; Andrews & Rose, 1994; Qualls *et al.*, 1997). If this is the case in *L. (Z.) vivipara*, a shift in Tp would be expected (at least in females) between oviparous and viviparous forms.

This note aims to determine the extent of intraspecific variation for Tp using an oviparous population of *L. (Z.) vivipara* as a model. Furthermore, the results are compared with those reported for some viviparous populations.

A total of 31 common lizards (6 adult males, 19 adult females and 6 subadults) belonging to an oviparous Pyrenean population were collected by hand in a subalpine meadow at 1800 m (Plan de Beret, Naut Aran UTM grid 31T CH3434: see Roig *et al.* (2000) for a detailed description of the study site) between the second half of June and the first half of July in 1997 and 1998. This interval corresponds to the egg-laying period of this population (Roig *et al.*, 2000). Lizards were kept in individual 0.5 × 0.4 × 0.3 m terraria for less than two weeks with food and water provided *ad libitum*, and then released after the experiments. Each lizard was individually exposed to a photothermal gradient (~20-45°C, 0.5 × 0.5 × 1.5 m length) produced by a 100 W reflector bulb fixed 15 cm above the substrate maintaining natural photoperiod. Humidity (90%) was kept uniform along the gradient by using a pebble base covered by moss which was periodically sprayed and provided sufficient shelter. Tp was measured by insert-

*Correspondence:* M. A. Carretero, Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos (CIBIO/UP), Campus Agrário de Vairão.4485-661 Vairão, Portugal.  
E-mail: carretero@mail.icav.up.pt

TABLE 1. Descriptive statistics of  $T_p$  considering the different classes and time intervals. In order to prevent pseudoreplication, totals are calculated with the means of each individual for the four time intervals (8:45-14:45).

| Class                    | Time  | <i>n</i> | Mean  | SE   | Min. | Max. |
|--------------------------|-------|----------|-------|------|------|------|
| <b>MALES</b>             |       |          |       |      |      |      |
|                          | 8:45  | 6        | 33.90 | 0.86 | 30.2 | 36.5 |
|                          | 10:45 | 6        | 33.12 | 0.30 | 32.3 | 34.3 |
|                          | 12:45 | 6        | 33.37 | 0.66 | 30.5 | 35.0 |
|                          | 14:45 | 6        | 33.22 | 0.59 | 31.8 | 35.5 |
|                          | total | 6        | 33.40 | 0.90 | 30.2 | 36.5 |
| <b>PREGNANT FEMALES</b>  |       |          |       |      |      |      |
|                          | 8:45  | 12       | 29.60 | 0.46 | 26.7 | 32.2 |
|                          | 10:45 | 12       | 27.98 | 0.39 | 26.4 | 30.0 |
|                          | 12:45 | 12       | 28.19 | 0.55 | 26.1 | 31.9 |
|                          | 14:45 | 12       | 28.18 | 0.38 | 25.7 | 30.2 |
|                          | total | 12       | 28.49 | 0.45 | 25.7 | 32.2 |
| <b>NON-PREG. FEMALES</b> |       |          |       |      |      |      |
|                          | 8:45  | 18       | 31.92 | 0.35 | 28.7 | 34.6 |
|                          | 10:45 | 18       | 31.77 | 0.33 | 28.9 | 34.2 |
|                          | 12:45 | 18       | 32.31 | 0.40 | 29.7 | 35.5 |
|                          | 14:45 | 18       | 31.61 | 0.51 | 27.3 | 34.8 |
|                          | total | 18       | 31.90 | 0.40 | 27.3 | 35.5 |
| <b>SUBADULTS</b>         |       |          |       |      |      |      |
|                          | 8:45  | 6        | 29.90 | 0.21 | 29.2 | 30.5 |
|                          | 10:45 | 6        | 29.67 | 0.70 | 27.7 | 32.3 |
|                          | 12:45 | 6        | 29.40 | 0.49 | 27.8 | 31.4 |
|                          | 14:45 | 6        | 28.12 | 0.83 | 25.5 | 30.8 |
|                          | total | 6        | 29.27 | 0.56 | 25.5 | 32.3 |

TABLE 2. Results of the general ANOVAR of  $T_p$  considering class and time, and separate ANOVAR for females considering time. When significant, results of *post-hoc* Scheffé's test are provided.

| 2-WAY ANOVAR | <i>F</i> | df     | <i>P</i>               |
|--------------|----------|--------|------------------------|
| Class        | 43.73    | 3, 38  | $2.11 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| Time         | 3.14     | 3, 114 | 0.03                   |
| Class x time | 1.07     | 9, 114 | 0.39                   |

| SCHEFFÉ TESTS  |       |                     |                    |            |
|----------------|-------|---------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Class          | Mean  | Males               | Non-preg. fem.     | Preg. fem. |
| Males          | 33.40 |                     |                    |            |
| Non-preg. fem. | 31.90 | 0.04                |                    |            |
| Preg. fem.     | 28.49 | $4 \times 10^{-10}$ | $2 \times 10^{-9}$ |            |
| Subadults      | 29.27 | $4 \times 10^{-7}$  | $7 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.52       |

| NESTED ANOVAR FOR FEMALES |          |       |                       |  |
|---------------------------|----------|-------|-----------------------|--|
| Time [pregnancy]          | <i>F</i> | df    | <i>P</i>              |  |
| Pregnancy                 | 51.34    | 1, 10 | $3.05 \times 10^{-5}$ |  |
| Time [pregnancy]          | 1.73     | 6, 60 | 0.12                  |  |

ing a k-thermocouple probe associated with a digital thermometer (Digitron 3208K, accuracy 0.01°C) in the cloaca. Body temperatures were recorded only for active lizards during a single day at four consecutive intervals of 2 hrs (8:45-12:45, Table 1) distributed throughout the period of diel activity observed in the field; the photo-thermal gradient was connected one hour before the first measurement. When possible ( $n=11$ ), individual females were analysed before and after egg-laying in terraria.

Data were not transformed since distributions did not deviate from normality (Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests,  $P>0.05$  in all cases), were homocedastic (univariate Levene tests and multivariate Box M,  $P>0.05$  in all cases) and variances and means were uncorrelated. Since measurements were repeated for the same individual (for each interval and, in most females, before and after egg-laying), statistical analysis was based on Analysis of Variance for Repeated Measures (ANOVAR) of  $T_p$  with class (males, pregnant females, non-pregnant females, subadults) as between subject factor and time interval as within subject factor. Sphericity assumption was not rejected prior to non-nested analysis (Mauchly's sphericity tests  $W=0.89$ ,  $\chi^2=4.34$ ,  $P=0.50$  and  $W=0.89$ ,  $\chi^2=4.34$ ,  $P=0.50$ ). Sequential Bonferroni correction (Rice, 1989) was used when multiple tests were evaluated simultaneously.

Table 1 shows the descriptive statistics of  $T_p$  for the four classes and the four time intervals. Data have not been pooled due to the high degree of heterogeneity in the results. In fact, ANOVAR revealed variation of  $T_p$  with time and class (Table 2). Lizards tended to select higher temperatures in the early morning and lower in the early afternoon. Moreover, significant class variation was detected. Males attained higher  $T_p$  than non-pregnant females; both classes exhibited higher values than pregnant females and subadults which did not show significant differences between them. A separate analysis performed for those females analysed before and after




FIG. 1. Diel variation of  $T_p$  in females showing individual variation after egg-laying. Lines connect dots belonging to the same individual. Black circles, pregnant females; open circles, non-pregnant females.

TABLE 3. Comparative statistics of Tp in adult *Lacerta (Zootoca) vivipara* from different European populations. Homologous comparisons are performed between Pyrenees and the other localities (samples from the literature) by means of *t*-tests. Pooled calculations excluded pregnant females. n.p., non-pregnant; p., pregnant. \* significant after sequential Bonferroni correction.

| Area (groups compared)        | Reproductive mode | Reference                      | <i>n</i> | Tp<br>mean±S.D. | Compared to Pyrenees |     |          |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|----------|-----------------|----------------------|-----|----------|
|                               |                   |                                |          |                 | <i>t</i>             | df  | <i>P</i> |
| Great Britain (pooled)        | viviparous        | Patterson & Davies (1978)      | 12       | 31.0±0.9        | 2.34                 | 34  | 0.02     |
| Austrian Alps (pooled)        | viviparous        | Van Damme <i>et al.</i> (1990) | 92       | 32.1±2.9        | 0.32                 | 114 | 0.75     |
| Belgium (pooled)              | viviparous        | Van Damme <i>et al.</i> (1990) | 151      | 32.3±2.5        | <0.0001              | 173 | >0.99    |
| Czech Republic (pooled)       | viviparous        | Gvozdík & Castilla (2001)      | 24       | 31.4±1.3        | 1.99                 | 46  | 0.05     |
| Pyrenees (pooled)             | oviparous         | This study                     | 24       | 32.3±1.8        | -                    | -   | -        |
| Belgium (males, June)         | viviparous        | Van Damme <i>et al.</i> (1986) | 100      | 32.3±2.0        | 1.32                 | 104 | 0.19     |
| Belgium (males, July)         | viviparous        | Van Damme <i>et al.</i> (1986) | 128      | 33.3±1.1        | 0.21                 | 132 | 0.83     |
| Czech Republic (males)        | viviparous        | Gvozdík & Castilla (2001)      | 12       | 31.5±1.4        | 2.63                 | 16  | 0.02     |
| Pyrenees (males)              | oviparous         | This study                     | 6        | 33.4±1.5        | -                    | -   | -        |
| Belgium (n.p. females, June)  | viviparous        | Van Damme <i>et al.</i> (1986) | 19       | 34.0±1.3        | 4.23                 | 35  | 0.0001*  |
| Belgium (n.p. females, July)  | viviparous        | Van Damme <i>et al.</i> (1986) | 60       | 32.7±2.3        | 1.39                 | 76  | 0.16     |
| Czech Republic (n.p. females) | viviparous        | Gvozdík and Castilla (2001)    | 12       | 31.3±1.4        | 1.01                 | 28  | 0.32     |
| Pyrenees (n.p. females)       | oviparous         | This study                     | 18       | 31.9±1.7        | -                    | -   | -        |
| Belgium (p. females June)     | viviparous        | Van Damme <i>et al.</i> (1986) | 74       | 30.3±2.6        | 2.33                 | 84  | 0.02     |
| Belgium (p. females July)     | viviparous        | Van Damme <i>et al.</i> (1986) | 10       | 30.0±1.3        | 4.79                 | 20  | 0.0001*  |
| Czech Republic (p. females)   | viviparous        | Gvozdík & Castilla (2001)      | 7        | 29.5±0.5        | 1.61                 | 17  | 0.10     |
| Pyrenees (p. females)         | oviparous         | This study                     | 12       | 28.5±1.5        | -                    | -   | -        |

egg-laying (Table 2) showed a clear increase of Tp for the same individuals (Fig. 1). Time differences were not significant when this group was analysed separately.

Comparative Tp values for different populations of *L. (Z.) vivipara* are shown in Table 3. Overall differences found with the viviparous populations of Britain and the Czech Republic were not significant after sequential Bonferroni correction. Available results allowed separate comparisons with Belgium and the Czech Republic. Pyrenean pregnant and non-pregnant females showed higher temperatures than their Belgian equivalents in June and in July, respectively. No differences were found for males when results were Bonferroni-corrected.

The results indicate that, within the population studied, Tp is strongly dependent on lizard condition and more weakly on time of day (Castilla *et al.*, 1999 and references therein, Rismiller & Heldmaier, 1982). Interpopulation analysis should then avoid using pooled data but perform at least class-by-class comparisons. When comparing in such a way, however, present results mostly agree with the results obtained for viviparous populations.

During the long gestation period, selected body temperatures of females seem to reflect the optimum for embryonic development (~27°C for *in vitro* development in viviparous populations, Maderson & Bellairs, 1962), rather than the optimum for physiological processes of the female itself. This seems to be true for both

reproductive modes (Heulin, 1987; Van Damme *et al.*, 1987; Gvozdík & Castilla, 2001; this study). Alternatively, lower Tp in gravid females could reflect decreased basking intensity, associated with changes in antipredatory behaviour. Gravid (viviparous) females of this species tend to be slower (Van Damme *et al.*, 1989b) and therefore may be more sensitive to predation when basking in the open (Bauwens & Thoen, 1981). In any case, individual monitoring of females in this study demonstrates that, once released from embryos after egg-laying, females immediately raise their Tp. This confirms that selective pressure for decreasing Tp is linked (directly or indirectly) to pregnancy. Nevertheless, differences between males and non-pregnant females still persist. This result, not detected for the viviparous populations (Gvozdík & Castilla, 2001), needs additional testing with pre-reproductive females in order to distinguish between possible residual effects of pregnancy in females and active selection of higher temperatures by males due to other selective pressures, especially the spermatogenic cycle (Patterson & Davies, 1978; Van Damme *et al.*, 1986).

Subadult lizards preferred lower temperatures than adults (except pregnant females). It has been suggested (Carretero & Llorente, 1995) that this opportunistic thermal behaviour allows immature lacertids to remain active for a longer period (both daily and annually) than adults, thus increasing their opportunities for food con-

sumption and, hence, for growing. Alternatively or additionally, evaporative loss is important in this species (Reichling, 1957) and probably more acute for small lizards due to their high surface/volume ratio (Bowker, 1993; Lorenzon *et al.*, 1999).

Diel variation of  $T_p$  observed was weak but significant. In general, lacertids tend to raise their  $T_p$  when environmental temperatures are low, i.e. when the thermal environment is more unfavourable and thermoregulation become a priority in relation to other requirements (Rismiller & Heldmaier, 1982; Tosini & Avery, 1994). Excluding the effect of reproductive activity, the seasonal variation reported for this species seems to follow a similar pattern (Patterson & Davies, 1978; Rismiller & Heldmaier, 1982; Van Damme *et al.*, 1987).

Finally, concerning the  $T_p$  variation found between populations, marginal differences between oviparous (Pyrenean) and viviparous populations can be easily attributed to differences in laboratory methods (see Gvozdík & Castilla, 2001) and/or in the numbers of lizards of each class composing the total samples. However, procedures were essentially the same for Pyrenean, Belgian and Czech populations and  $T_p$  variation between members of the same class was still recorded in some cases. However, such differences do not show a clear pattern of divergence between oviparous and viviparous forms, but may well arise secondarily from seasonal variation (Van Damme *et al.*, 1986) since experiments were not simultaneous and the reproductive cycle changes with environmental conditions. For instance, the strong increase of  $T_p$  observed in Pyrenean males after the breeding season may be tentatively associated with rapid spermatogenesis observed in this high mountain population due to climatic constraints (Roig *et al.*, 2000).

In conclusion, the present results did not differ from those expected for thermal rigidity at species/population level, extending the confirmation of this hypothesis to both reproductive modes within *L. (Z.) vivipara*. Nevertheless, within a population,  $T_p$  is a complex trait that should be interpreted as a compromise between different selective pressures including not only thermal environment but also energy allocation and, obviously, reproductive condition. Other possible factors should also not be excluded, among them social behaviour and parasites (Castilla *et al.*, 1999). Future research should try to generalize these findings to other lacertid species (i.e. Tosini & Avery, 1996).

*Acknowledgements.* Thanks are due to Conselh Generau d'Aran for funding, and to the Invertebrate section of the Departament de Biologia Animal and Centre de Recerca d'Alta Muntanya (Universitat de Barcelona) for logistic support. Collecting permits were provided by the Subdirecció General de Conservació de la Natura, Departament d'Agricultura, Ramaderia i Pesca (now Departament de Medi Ambient) of the Generalitat de Catalunya.

## REFERENCES

- Andrews, R. M. & Rose, B. R. (1994). Evolution of viviparity: constraints on egg retention. *Physiological Zoology* **67**, 1006-1024.
- Bauwens, D., Garland T. Jr., Castilla, A. M. & Van Damme, A. (1995). Evolution of sprint speed in lacertid lizards: morphological, physiological, and behavioural covariation. *Evolution* **49**, 848-863.
- Bauwens, D. & Thoen, C. (1981). Escape tactics and vulnerability to predation associated with reproduction in the lizard *Lacerta vivipara*. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **50**, 733-743.
- Bea, A. (1978). Nota sobre *Lacerta vivipara* Jaquin, 1787, en la Península Ibérica. *Bulletí de la Institució Catalana de Història Natural* **42** (Sec. Zool. 2), 123-126.
- Bennet, A. F. & John-Alder, H. (1986). Thermal relations of some Australian skinks (Sauria: Scincidae). *Copeia* **1986**, 57-64.
- Bowker, R. G. (1993). The thermoregulation of the lizards *Cnemidophorus exanguis* and *C. velox*: some consequences of high body temperature. In *Biology of Whiptail Lizards (genus Cnemidophorus)*, 117-132. Wright, J. W. & Vitt, L. J. (Eds.). Oklahoma Mus. Nat. Hist. Norman, Oklahoma.
- Braña, F. & Bea, A. (1987). Bimodalité de la reproduction chez *Lacerta vivipara* (Reptilia, Lacertidae). *Bulletin de la Société Herpétologique de France* **44**, 1-5.
- Carretero, M.A. & Llorente, G. A. (1995). Thermal and temporal patterns of two Mediterranean Lacertidae. In *Scientia Herpetologica*, 213-223. Llorente, G. A., Montori, A., Santos, X. & Carretero, M. A. (Eds). AHE. Barcelona.
- Castilla, A. M., Van Damme, R. & Bauwens, D. (1999). Field body temperatures, mechanisms of thermoregulation, and evolution of thermal characteristics in lacertid lizards. *Natura Croatica* **8**, 253-274.
- Christian, K. A. & Weavers, B. W. (1996). Thermoregulation of monitor lizards in Australia: an evaluation of methods in thermal biology. *Ecological Monographs* **66**, 139-157.
- Gvozdík, L. & Castilla, A. M. (2001). A comparative study of preferred body temperatures and critical thermal tolerance limits among populations of *Zootoca vivipara* (Squamata: Lacertidae) along an altitudinal gradient in the Czech Republic. *Journal of Herpetology* **35**, 486-492.
- Harris, D. J. & Carretero, M. A. (2003). Comments on the taxonomic value of (sub)genera within the family Lacertidae (Reptilia). *Amphibia-Reptilia* **24**, 119-122.
- Hertz, P., Huey, R. B. & Stevenson, R. D. (1983). Homage to Santa Anita: thermal sensitivity of sprint speed in agamid lizards. *Evolution* **37**, 1075-1084.
- Heulin, B. (1987). Température diurne d'activité des mâles et des femelles de *Lacerta vivipara*. *Amphibia-Reptilia* **8**, 393-400.
- Heulin, B., Guillaume, C. P., Vogrin, N., Surguet-Groba, Y. & Tadic, Z. (2000). Further evidence of the existence of oviparous populations of *Lacerta (Zootoca) vivipara*. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences Série III - Sciences de la Vie / Life Sciences* **323**, 461-468.
- Huey, R. B. & Bennett, A. F. (1987). Phylogenetic studies of coadaptation: preferred temperatures versus optimal

- performance temperatures of lizards. *Evolution* **41**, 1098-1115.
- Labra, A. (1998). Selected body temperatures of seven species of Chilean *Liolaemus* lizards. *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural* **71**, 349-358.
- Lorenzon, P., Clobert, J., Oppiger, A. & John-Alder, H. B. (1999). Effect of water constraint on growth rate, activity and body temperature of yearling common lizard (*Lacerta vivipara*). *Oecologia* **118**, 423-430.
- Maderson, P. F. A. & Bellairs, A. D. (1962). Culture methods as an aid to experiment on reptile embryos. *Nature* **195**, 401-402.
- Mayer, W., Böhme, W., Tiedemann, F. & Bischoff, W. (2000). On the oviparous populations of *Zootoca vivipara* (Jacquin, 1787) in south-eastern Central Europe and their phylogenetic relationship to neighbouring viviparous and south-west European oviparous populations. *Herpetozoa* **13**, 59-69.
- Patterson, J. W. & Davies, P. M. C. (1978). Preferred body temperature: seasonal and sexual differences in the lizard *Lacerta vivipara*. *Journal of Thermal Biology* **3**, 39-41.
- Qualls, C. P., Andrews, R. M. & Mathies, T. (1997). The evolution of viviparity and placentation revisited. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **185**, 129-135.
- Reichling, H. (1957). Transpiration und Vorzugstemperatur mitteleuropäischer Reptilien und Amphibien. *Zoologische Jahrbücher Systematik* **67**, 1-64.
- Rice, W. C. (1989). Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution* **43**, 223-225.
- Rismiller, P. D. & Heldmaier, G. (1982). The effect of photoperiod on temperature selection in the European green lizard, *Lacerta viridis*. *Oecologia* **53**, 222-226.
- Roig, J. M., Carretero, M. A. & Llorente, G. A. (2000). Reproductive cycle in a Pyrenean oviparous population of the common lizard (*Zootoca vivipara*). *Netherlands Journal of Zoology* **50**, 15-27.
- Scheers, H. & Van Damme, R. (2002). Micro-scale differences in thermal habitat quality and a possible case of evolutionary flexibility in the thermal physiology of lacertid lizards. *Oecologia* **132**, 323-331.
- Shine, R. (1985). The evolution of viviparity in reptiles: an ecological analysis. In *Biology of the Reptilia Vol. 15* 603-694. Gans, C. & Billet, F. (Eds.). John Wiley, New York.
- Surget-Groba Y., Heulin B., Ghiehmi, S., Guillaume, C. P. & Vogrin, N. (2002). Phylogeography and conservation of the populations of *Zootoca vivipara carniolica*. *Biological Conservation* **106**, 365-372.
- Surget-Groba Y., Heulin B., Guillaume C. P., Thorpe, R. S., Maslak, R., Mazzotti, S., Venczel, M., Ghira, I., Odierna, G., Leontyeva, O., Monney, J. C. & Smith, N. (2001). Intraspecific phylogeography of *Lacerta vivipara* and the evolution of viviparity. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **18**, 449-459.
- Tosini, G. & Avery, R. (1994). Diel variation in thermoregulatory set points of the lizard *Podarcis muralis*. *Amphibia-Reptilia* **15**, 93-96.
- Tosini, G. & Avery, R. (1996). Pregnancy decreases set point temperatures for behavioural thermoregulation in the wall lizard *Podarcis muralis*. *Herpetological Journal* **6**, 84-96.
- Van Damme, R., Bauwens, D., Castilla, A. M. & Verheyen, R. F. (1989a). Altitudinal variation of thermal biology and running performance in the lizard *Podarcis tiliguerta*. *Oecologia* **80**, 516-524.
- Van Damme, R., Bauwens, D., Castilla, A. M. & Verheyen, R. F. (1989b). Effect of relative clutch mass on sprint speed in the lizard *Lacerta vivipara*. *Journal of Herpetology* **35**, 459-461.
- Van Damme, R.; Bauwens, D. & Verheyen, R. (1986). Selected body temperatures in the lizard *Lacerta vivipara*: variation within and between populations. *Journal of Thermal Biology* **11**, 219-222.
- Van Damme, R.; Bauwens, D. & Verheyen, R. (1987). Thermoregulatory responses to environmental seasonality by the lizard *Lacerta vivipara*. *Herpetologica* **43**, 405-425.
- Van Damme, R.; Bauwens, D. & Verheyen, R. (1990). Evolutionary rigidity of thermal physiology: the case of the cool temperate lizard *Lacerta vivipara*. *Oikos* **57**, 61-67.

Accepted: 14.5.03